

SHORE CITIZENS AGAIN LAMBASTED IN NEW OFFENSIVE

To the ranks of the Baltimore Sun and Chicago Tribune, lusty exponents of a "holier than thou" creed, and Henry L. Menchen, clown prince of the funny-columnists, this week is added another agency which contributes a fresh outburst of denunciatory criticism of the Eastern Shore, as a result of the lynching of a negro murderer in Salisbury nearly two months ago.

Fanning the dying embers of race prejudice, lynch-law and sectional bitterness, the newest broadside of defamatory accusations emanates from the Federal Council of the Churches of Christ in America.

From the New York headquarters of the Council's Commission on Race Relations, publicity was released on an investigation and report of Dr. Broadus Mitchell, of the department of political economy, Johns Hopkins University, made in behalf of the Council.

Dr. Mitchell's conclusions, enshrouded in all the glamour bestowed by his post as "professor of political economy," were reached as the result of a three-day investigation in Salisbury, and led him to pronounce the solemn manifesto that:

"In a sense, the Chesapeake Bay has contributed to the lynching in Salisbury.

"That is, the Eastern Shore is isolated geographically, and has become backward in its mental and spiritual development.

"It is probably true, as one of the writers prominent in the controversy over the lynching has said, that the Eastern Shore has suffered from the removal of many of its ablest young men."