

from the judgment of Pipe Creek monthly meeting, confirmed by that Quarter, in his case — the appellant being present, and notifying his intention of prosecuting his appeal, and all the Committee appointed by the Quarter being present, except two, the following friends were appointed to take the subject into their serious consideration, and after hearing the Allegations of the appellant, and examining the proceedings of the meetings, (to be produced by the Committee,) report their judgment on the case to a future sitting — Viz. James Gillingham, William Brown, Andrew McCoy, Elias Warner, Jacob Tyson, Joseph Sherrinburg, Isaac Steer, Thomas Phillips — Andrew Scholfield, John Janney, Jonathan Pickering, Israel Janney.

The meeting was also informed by the same report, that Ruth Walker, had appealed from the judgment of Warrington monthly meeting confirmed by that Quarter in her case, — She was present and informed the meeting that she continued her intention to prosecute her appeal — the committee appointed by the Quarter in her case, were also present — The following friends were also appointed to take the subject into consideration and after hearing the appellant and examining the proceedings of the monthly and Quarterly meetings in relation to it, report their —

judgment to a future sitting, viz. George Ellicott, William Wiley, Joseph Townsend, Samuel Lubins, David Preston, Samuel Hopkins, William M. Pherson, John Williams, Joseph Holmes, Isaac Nichols Jr. Jacob Mendenhall, William Haugh.

The Representatives were requested to stop at the close of this sitting, in order to consider of two friends, to serve the meeting as clerk and Assistant for the present year, to be proposed at the opening of the next sitting.

Then adjourned to three o'clock this afternoon.

Friends assembled pursuant to adjournment.

The Representatives reported by one of their number, that they had agreed to propose Edward Habler as clerk, and John Janney, as assistant, which was approved by the meeting and they accordingly appointed.

Baltimore Quarter informed that a report was brought up from the two monthly meetings of Baltimore, stating a difference of opinion to subsist between those meetings relative to the right in the property lying in the eastern end of the city — and respecting which a committee appointed by that Quarter had not been able to unite in judgment — it was therefore referred to this meeting, and committees appointed by